

Convergence to equilibrium for semigroups in Hilbert space

An intertwining approach

Aditya Vaidyanathan

Center for Applied Mathematics
Cornell University, Ithaca NY

December 8th, 2016

Joint work with Pierre Patie (Cornell) and partially sponsored by NSF grant no. 1406599.

Part I.
Abstract Framework

Contraction and Markov semigroups

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space.

- ▶ We say $P = (P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a **contraction semigroup** if it is a C_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{H} and satisfies $\|P_t\| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Contraction and Markov semigroups

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space.

- ▶ We say $P = (P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a **contraction semigroup** if it is a C_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{H} and satisfies $\|P_t\| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- ▶ We say P is an **$L^2(\mu)$ -Markov semigroup** if it is a Markov semigroup with invariant probability measure μ , i.e.

$$\mu P_t f = \mu f := \int f \, d\mu.$$

Convergence to equilibrium

Let P_∞ be the projection onto $\{f \in \mathcal{H} : P_t f = f \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$.
Our aim is to understand the long-time behavior of

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow ?$$

Convergence to equilibrium

Let P_∞ be the projection onto $\{f \in \mathcal{H} : P_t f = f \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$.
Our aim is to understand the long-time behavior of

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow ?$$

Definition 1

We say that a semigroup P **converges to equilibrium** if for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|P_t f - P_\infty f\| = 0.$$

Convergence to equilibrium

Let P_∞ be the projection onto $\{f \in \mathcal{H} : P_t f = f \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$.
Our aim is to understand the long-time behavior of

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow ?$$

Definition 1

We say that a semigroup P **converges to equilibrium** if for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|P_t f - P_\infty f\| = 0.$$

Note: If \mathbf{P} is another projection such that $\|P_t f - \mathbf{P}f\| \rightarrow 0$, then by invariance $\mathbf{P} = P_\infty$.

Spectral gap inequality

P satisfies a spectral gap inequality $S(\alpha)$ if

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq e^{-\alpha t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the spectral gap of P (i.e. the smallest such constant).

Spectral gap inequality

P satisfies a **spectral gap inequality** $S(\alpha)$ if

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq e^{-\alpha t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the spectral gap of P (i.e. the smallest such constant).

- ▶ Bakry, Gentil, Ledoux's monograph [BGL14]
- ▶ Miclo [Mic15] self-adjoint, ergodic and hyperbounded \implies spectral gap

Hypo coercivity & perturbed spectral gap

P satisfies a **hypo coercive estimate** $H(C, \omega)$ if

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C e^{-\omega t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where $C \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ are (in general, unknown) constants.

Hypoercivity & perturbed spectral gap

P satisfies a **hypoercive estimate** $H(C, \omega)$ if

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C e^{-\omega t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where $C \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ are (in general, unknown) constants.

- ▶ Villani's memoir [Vil09] (Lyapunov functional techniques)
- ▶ Mischler, Mouhot [MM16] (shrinking & enlarging spaces)
- ▶ Baudoin [Bau13] (Bakry-Émery theory & Γ -calculus)
- ▶ Hérau & Nier [HN04] (hypoellipticity techniques)

Hypo coercivity & perturbed spectral gap

P satisfies a **hypo coercive estimate** $H(C, \omega)$ if

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C e^{-\omega t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where $C \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ are (in general, unknown) constants.

- ▶ Villani's memoir [Vil09] (Lyapunov functional techniques)
- ▶ Mischler, Mouhot [MM16] (shrinking & enlarging spaces)
- ▶ Baudoin [Bau13] (Bakry-Émery theory & Γ -calculus)
- ▶ Hérau & Nier [HN04] (hypoellipticity techniques)

P satisfies a **perturbed spectral gap inequality** $PS(C, \omega)$ if it satisfies $H(C, \omega)$ and ω is a gap in the point spectrum.

- ▶ Patie & Savov [PS16] (intertwining relationships).

Intertwining

Definition 2

Let P and Q be contraction semigroups on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} .

- ▶ We say that P **intertwines with** Q , written $P \triangleleft Q$, if there exists $\Lambda \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that on \mathcal{K} and for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$P_t \Lambda = \Lambda Q_t.$$

- ▶ If $P \triangleleft Q$ and Λ is bijective then $P_t = \Lambda Q_t \Lambda^{-1}$. In this case we say P **is in the similarity orbit of** Q , and write $P \bowtie Q$.

Intertwining

Definition 2

Let P and Q be contraction semigroups on Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} .

- ▶ We say that P **intertwines with** Q , written $P \triangleleft Q$, if there exists $\Lambda \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that on \mathcal{K} and for all $t \geq 0$ we have

$$P_t \Lambda = \Lambda Q_t.$$

- ▶ If $P \triangleleft Q$ and Λ is bijective then $P_t = \Lambda Q_t \Lambda^{-1}$. In this case we say P **is in the similarity orbit of** Q , and write $P \bowtie Q$.

Literature:

- ▶ Sz.-Nagy & Foais [SNFBK10] (quasi-affinity)
- ▶ Douglas [Dou69] (unitary equivalence for normal operators)
- ▶ Dynkin [Dyn82] (Dynkin's criterion)
- ▶ Rogers & Pitman [RP80] (Brownian motion & Bessel process)

First convergence result

Theorem 1 (Patie, V. 2016)

Suppose $P \bowtie Q$ and let $\kappa(\Lambda) = \|\Lambda\| \|\Lambda^{-1}\| \geq 1$.

- (i) If Q satisfies $H(C, \omega)$ then P satisfies $H(C\kappa(\Lambda), \omega)$.
- (ii) If Q satisfies $S(\alpha)$ then P satisfies $PS(\kappa(\Lambda), \alpha)$.

First convergence result

Theorem 1 (Patie, V. 2016)

Suppose $P \bowtie Q$ and let $\kappa(\Lambda) = \|\Lambda\| \|\Lambda^{-1}\| \geq 1$.

- (i) If Q satisfies $H(C, \omega)$ then P satisfies $H(C\kappa(\Lambda), \omega)$.
- (ii) If Q satisfies $S(\alpha)$ then P satisfies $PS(\kappa(\Lambda), \alpha)$.

Proof.

Let $P \bowtie Q$. Then, $P_\infty = \Lambda Q_\infty \Lambda^{-1}$. Since Q satisfies $H(C, \omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \|\Lambda Q_t \Lambda^{-1} f - \Lambda Q_\infty \Lambda^{-1} f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \|\Lambda\| \|Q_t \Lambda^{-1} f - Q_\infty \Lambda^{-1} f\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda\| e^{-\omega t} \|\Lambda^{-1} f - Q_\infty \Lambda^{-1} f\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ &= C \|\Lambda\| e^{-\omega t} \|\Lambda^{-1} f - \Lambda^{-1} P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{K}} \\ &\leq C \|\Lambda\| \|\Lambda^{-1}\| e^{-\omega t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= C \kappa(\Lambda) e^{-\omega t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$



Beyond similarity via resolution of identity

We want to obtain convergence results under weaker hypotheses on Λ .
Henceforth we suppose that Q is a normal semigroup satisfying $S(\alpha)$.

Beyond similarity via resolution of identity

We want to obtain convergence results under weaker hypotheses on Λ .
Henceforth we suppose that Q is a normal semigroup satisfying $S(\alpha)$.

By the spectral theorem we have

$$Q_t = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} e^{-\gamma t} dE_\gamma,$$

where E is the resolution of the identity for $-A_Q$, the generator of Q .

Beyond similarity via resolution of identity

We want to obtain convergence results under weaker hypotheses on Λ .
Henceforth we suppose that Q is a normal semigroup satisfying $S(\alpha)$.

By the spectral theorem we have

$$Q_t = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} e^{-\gamma t} dE_\gamma,$$

where E is the resolution of the identity for $-A_Q$, the generator of Q .

Writing $g = f - Q_\infty f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_t g\|^2 &= \int_{\sigma(-A_Q) \setminus \{0\}} e^{-2\Re(\gamma)t} d\langle E_\gamma g, g \rangle \\ &\leq e^{-2\alpha t} \int_{\sigma(-A_Q) \setminus \{0\}} d\langle E_\gamma g, g \rangle \\ &\leq e^{-\alpha t} \|g\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Non-self-adjoint (unbounded) resolutions

From now on, suppose $P \triangleleft Q$ and the intertwining operator Λ satisfies

(a) $\text{Ran}(\Lambda) \subset_d \mathcal{H}$, $\overline{\text{Ran}(\Lambda)} = \mathcal{H}$

(b) $\text{Ker}(\Lambda \upharpoonright_D) = 0$ where $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})} \text{Ran}(E_B) \subset \mathcal{D} \subset_d \mathcal{H}$,

Non-self-adjoint (unbounded) resolutions

From now on, suppose $P \triangleleft Q$ and the intertwining operator Λ satisfies

(a) $\text{Ran}(\Lambda) \subset_d \mathcal{H}$, $\overline{\text{Ran}(\Lambda)} = \mathcal{H}$

(b) $\text{Ker}(\Lambda \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$ where $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})} \text{Ran}(E_B) \subset \mathcal{D} \subset_d \mathcal{H}$,

Then on \mathcal{D} we can define, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$F_B = \Lambda E_B \Lambda^{-1}$$

Each F_B is unbounded and non-self-adjoint.

Non-self-adjoint (unbounded) resolutions

From now on, suppose $P \triangleleft Q$ and the intertwining operator Λ satisfies

(a) $\text{Ran}(\Lambda) \subset_d \mathcal{H}$, $(\overline{\text{Ran}(\Lambda)} = \mathcal{H})$

(b) $\text{Ker}(\Lambda \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$ where $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})} \text{Ran}(E_B) \subset \mathcal{D} \subset_d \mathcal{H}$,

Then on \mathcal{D} we can define, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$F_B = \Lambda E_B \Lambda^{-1}$$

Each F_B is unbounded and non-self-adjoint.

Proposition 1

Under the above assumptions, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$P_t f = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} e^{-\gamma t} dF_\gamma f.$$

Non-self-adjoint (unbounded) resolutions

From now on, suppose $P \triangleleft Q$ and the intertwining operator Λ satisfies

(a) $\text{Ran}(\Lambda) \subset_d \mathcal{H}$, $(\overline{\text{Ran}(\Lambda)} = \mathcal{H})$

(b) $\text{Ker}(\Lambda \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$ where $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})} \text{Ran}(E_B) \subset \mathcal{D} \subset_d \mathcal{H}$,

Then on \mathcal{D} we can define, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$F_B = \Lambda E_B \Lambda^{-1}$$

Each F_B is unbounded and non-self-adjoint.

Proposition 1

Under the above assumptions, for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$P_t f = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} e^{-\gamma t} dF_\gamma f.$$

As a corollary we deduce that for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and all $t \geq 0$,

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|\Lambda\| e^{-\alpha t} \|\Lambda^{-1}(f - P_\infty f)\|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Cannot be extended by density because Λ^{-1} is not necessarily bounded.

Integral representation of P

Assume further that there exists $m : \sigma(-A_Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (c) $F_\infty^m = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} m(\gamma) dF_\gamma$ is bounded on \mathcal{H} ,
- (d) for $t > T \geq 0$, $u(t) = \sup_{\Re(\gamma) \geq a} \frac{e^{-\Re(\gamma)t}}{|m(\gamma)|} < +\infty$.

Integral representation of P

Assume further that there exists $m : \sigma(-A_Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (c) $F_\infty^m = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} m(\gamma) dF_\gamma$ is bounded on \mathcal{H} ,
- (d) for $t > T \geq 0$, $u(t) = \sup_{\Re(\gamma) \geq \alpha} \frac{e^{-\Re(\gamma)t}}{|m(\gamma)|} < +\infty$.

Theorem 2 (Patie, V. 2016)

Suppose Λ satisfies (a)–(d). Then for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t > T$,

- (i) $P_t f = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{m(\gamma)} dF_\gamma^m f$,
- (ii) $\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|F_\infty^m\| u(t) \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$,

Integral representation of P

Assume further that there exists $m : \sigma(-A_Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (c) $F_\infty^m = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} m(\gamma) dF_\gamma$ is bounded on \mathcal{H} ,
- (d) for $t > T \geq 0$, $u(t) = \sup_{\Re(\gamma) \geq \alpha} \frac{e^{-\Re(\gamma)t}}{|m(\gamma)|} < +\infty$.

Theorem 2 (Patie, V. 2016)

Suppose Λ satisfies (a)–(d). Then for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t > T$,

- (i) $P_t f = \int_{\sigma(-A_Q)} \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{m(\gamma)} dF_\gamma^m f$,
- (ii) $\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|F_\infty^m\| u(t) \|f - P_\infty f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$,

Remark 1

- ▶ If the sup is attained at α then we have hypocoercivity estimate with spectral explanation for the constant, which is [the spectral gap of \$Q\$](#) .
- ▶ F_∞^m is no longer a resolution of the identity.
- ▶ Some sufficient conditions can be obtained when $P \triangleleft Q$ and $Q \triangleleft P$.

Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of (i) uses Proposition 1, condition (c) and the density of \mathcal{D} .

For the proof of (ii), writing $g = f - P_\infty f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_t g\|^2 &= \int_{\sigma(-A_Q) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{e^{-\gamma t}}{m(\gamma)} d\langle F_\gamma^m g, P_t g \rangle \\ &\leq u(t) \int_{\sigma(-A_Q) \setminus \{0\}} d\langle F_\gamma^m g, P_t g \rangle \\ &\leq \|F_\infty^m\| u(t) \|g\| \|P_t g\|\end{aligned}$$

Part II.
Generalized Laguerre semigroups

Characterization

Let \mathcal{N} denote the set of all functions $\Psi : i\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\Psi(z) = \sigma^2 z^2 + mz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{zy} - 1 - zy) \Pi(dy),$$

where $\sigma^2, m \geq 0$ and Π is a measure satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x| \wedge x^2) \Pi(dx) < \infty$.

Characterization

Let \mathcal{N} denote the set of all functions $\Psi : i\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\Psi(z) = \sigma^2 z^2 + mz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{zy} - 1 - zy)\Pi(dy),$$

where $\sigma^2, m \geq 0$ and Π is a measure satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x| \wedge x^2)\Pi(dx) < \infty$.

$P = (e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0} \in \mathcal{GL}$, the set of generalized Laguerre semigroups, if

$$\mathcal{M}_{Af}(z+1) = -\Psi(-z)\mathcal{M}_f(z) + (z+1)\mathcal{M}_f(z+1), \quad z \in i\mathbb{R},$$

with $\mathcal{M}_f(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1}f(x)dx$ the Mellin transform of f .

Characterization

Let \mathcal{N} denote the set of all functions $\Psi : i\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\Psi(z) = \sigma^2 z^2 + mz + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{zy} - 1 - zy) \Pi(dy),$$

where $\sigma^2, m \geq 0$ and Π is a measure satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|x| \wedge x^2) \Pi(dx) < \infty$.

$P = (e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0} \in \mathcal{GL}$, the set of generalized Laguerre semigroups, if

$$\mathcal{M}_{Af}(z+1) = -\Psi(-z)\mathcal{M}_f(z) + (z+1)\mathcal{M}_f(z+1), \quad z \in i\mathbb{R},$$

with $\mathcal{M}_f(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} f(x) dx$ the Mellin transform of f .

There is a bijection between \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{GL} . One can show that for suitable functions f ,

$$\begin{aligned} -Af(x) &= \sigma^2 x f''(x) + (m + \sigma^2 - x) f'(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(e^y x) - f(x) - yx f'(x)) \frac{\Pi(dy)}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Properties and classical Laguerre

Every $P \in \mathcal{GL}$ is an $L^2(\mu)$ -Markov semigroup with state space $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B})$ and absolutely continuous, invariant probability measure μ .

Properties and classical Laguerre

Every $P \in \mathcal{GL}$ is an $L^2(\mu)$ -Markov semigroup with state space $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B})$ and absolutely continuous, invariant probability measure μ .

P is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mu)$ if and only if $\Pi \equiv 0$.

Properties and classical Laguerre

Every $P \in \mathcal{GL}$ is an $L^2(\mu)$ -Markov semigroup with state space $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B})$ and absolutely continuous, invariant probability measure μ .

P is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mu)$ if and only if $\Pi \equiv 0$.

If $\sigma = 1$, $\Pi \equiv 0$ we get the classical Laguerre semigroup of order $m \geq 0$, denoted by $Q^{(m)}$, which admits a spectral expansion

$$Q_t^{(m)} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-nt} \langle f, e_n^{(m)} \rangle e_n^{(m)}.$$

Properties and classical Laguerre

Every $P \in \mathcal{GL}$ is an $L^2(\mu)$ -Markov semigroup with state space $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B})$ and absolutely continuous, invariant probability measure μ .

P is self-adjoint on $L^2(\mu)$ if and only if $\Pi \equiv 0$.

If $\sigma = 1$, $\Pi \equiv 0$ we get the classical Laguerre semigroup of order $m \geq 0$, denoted by $Q^{(m)}$, which admits a spectral expansion

$$Q_t^{(m)} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-nt} \langle f, e_n^{(m)} \rangle e_n^{(m)}.$$

From this we deduce that,

$$\|Q_t^{(m)} f - Q_{\infty}^{(m)} f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq e^{-t} \|f - Q_{\infty}^{(m)} f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

The similarity case

Theorem 3 (Patie, V. 2016)

Let $P \in \mathcal{GL}$ and suppose that the associated $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies

$$\Psi(z) = \Psi(-z) \iff m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(dx)\mathbb{1}_{\{x < 0\}} = \Pi(dx)\mathbb{1}_{\{x > 0\}}.$$

Then $P \bowtie Q^{(0)}$, where the intertwining operator Λ is a Mellin convolution operator with explicit Mellin multiplier. Furthermore,

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \kappa(\Lambda) e^{-t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

The similarity case

Theorem 3 (Patie, V. 2016)

Let $P \in \mathcal{GL}$ and suppose that the associated $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}$ satisfies

$$\Psi(z) = \Psi(-z) \iff m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi(dx)\mathbb{1}_{\{x < 0\}} = \Pi(dx)\mathbb{1}_{\{x > 0\}}.$$

Then $P \bowtie Q^{(0)}$, where the intertwining operator Λ is a Mellin convolution operator with explicit Mellin multiplier. Furthermore,

$$\|P_t f - P_\infty f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \kappa(\Lambda) e^{-t} \|f - P_\infty f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Remark 2

- ▶ When $\Pi \not\equiv 0$ then P is non-local and non-self-adjoint.
- ▶ The generator may or may not have a diffusive component.

Hypo-coercivity for generalized Laguerre

Wiener-Hopf factorization: $\Psi(z) = -\phi_-(z)\phi_+(-z)$, $z \in i\mathbb{R}$, where

$$\phi_{\pm}(z) = k_{\pm} + d_{\pm}z + \int_0^{\infty} (1 - e^{-zy})\eta_{\pm}(dy) = k_{\pm} + d_{\pm}z + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zy}\bar{\eta}_{\pm}(y)dy$$

$k_{\pm}, d_{\pm} \geq 0$ and $\int_0^{\infty} (1 \wedge y)\eta_{\pm}(dy) < \infty$.

Hypo-coercivity for generalized Laguerre

Wiener-Hopf factorization: $\Psi(z) = -\phi_-(z)\phi_+(-z)$, $z \in i\mathbb{R}$, where

$$\phi_{\pm}(z) = k_{\pm} + d_{\pm}z + \int_0^{\infty} (1 - e^{-zy})\eta_{\pm}(dy) = k_{\pm} + d_{\pm}z + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zy}\bar{\eta}_{\pm}(y)dy$$

$k_{\pm}, d_{\pm} \geq 0$ and $\int_0^{\infty} (1 \wedge y)\eta_{\pm}(dy) < \infty$.

Theorem 4 (Patie, V. 2016)

Let $P \in \mathcal{GL}$. If Ψ is such that $\sigma > 0$ and $\bar{\eta}_- \stackrel{0}{\sim} \bar{\eta}_+$, then

$$\|P_t f - P_{\infty} f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq C e^{-t} \|f - P_{\infty} f\|_{L^2(\mu)}$$

holds for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$ and $t \geq 0$, where $C > 1$ is explicit.

Sketch of proof

Key idea: $P \triangleleft Q^{(a)}$ and $Q^{(b)} \triangleleft P$ with $0 \leq a < b$, i.e.

$$P_t \Lambda = \Lambda Q_t^{(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Lambda} P_t = Q_t^{(b)} \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

Sketch of proof

Key idea: $P \triangleleft Q^{(a)}$ and $Q^{(b)} \triangleleft P$ with $0 \leq a < b$, i.e.

$$P_t \Lambda = \Lambda Q_t^{(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Lambda} P_t = Q_t^{(b)} \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

The two intertwining allow us to conclude that

$$F_\gamma = \sum_{n \leq \gamma} \langle \Lambda^{-1} f, e_n^{(a)} \rangle \Lambda e_n^{(a)} = \sum_{n \leq \gamma} \langle f, V_n \rangle P_n,$$

where $V_n, P_n \in L^2(\mu)$.

Sketch of proof

Key idea: $P \triangleleft Q^{(a)}$ and $Q^{(b)} \triangleleft P$ with $0 \leq a < b$, i.e.

$$P_t \Lambda = \Lambda Q_t^{(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Lambda} P_t = Q_t^{(b)} \tilde{\Lambda}.$$

The two intertwining allow us to conclude that

$$F_\gamma = \sum_{n \leq \gamma} \langle \Lambda^{-1} f, e_n^{(a)} \rangle \Lambda e_n^{(a)} = \sum_{n \leq \gamma} \langle f, V_n \rangle P_n,$$

where $V_n, P_n \in L^2(\mu)$. The function m is given by

$$m(n) = \frac{\Gamma(b+1) \Gamma(n+a+1)}{\Gamma(a+1) \Gamma(n+b+1)},$$

which behaves asymptotically like n^{a-b} .

Conclusions

- ▶ Intertwining seems to be a fruitful approach for investigating convergence to equilibrium.
- ▶ Ideas can be applied in a general framework (independent of state space).
- ▶ Useful for transferring (spectral) information from known to unknown objects.

Conclusions

- ▶ Intertwining seems to be a fruitful approach for investigating convergence to equilibrium.
- ▶ Ideas can be applied in a general framework (independent of state space).
- ▶ Useful for transferring (spectral) information from known to unknown objects.

How far can one go with intertwining?

Thank you for your time and attention

References I



Fabrice Baudoin.

Bakry-Émery meet Villani.

arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.4938, 2013.



Dominique Bakry, Ivan Gentil, and Michel Ledoux.

Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators, volume 348 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*.

Springer International Publishing, 2014.



R. G. Douglas.

On the operator equation $s'xt = x$ and related topics.

Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum (Szeged), 30(1-2):19, 1969.



E. B. Dynkin.

Markov processes and related problems of analysis.

Number 54 in London Mathematical Society lecture note series.

Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York, 1982.

References II



Frédéric Hérau and Francis Nier.

Isotropic hypoellipticity and trend to equilibrium for the fokker-planck equation with a high-degree potential.

Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 171(2):151–218, 2004.



Laurent Miclo.

On hyperboundedness and spectrum of markov operators.

Inventiones mathematicae, 200(1):311–343, 2015.



Stéphane Mischler and Clément Mouhot.

Exponential stability of slowly decaying solutions to the kinetic-Fokker-Planck equation.

Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 221(2):677–723, 2016.



Pierre Patie and Mladen Savov.

Spectral expansions of non-self-adjoint generalized Laguerre semigroups.

arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.01625v2, 2016.

References III



Pierre Patie and Aditya Vaidyanathan.

Convergence to equilibrium for semigroups in Hilbert space: an intertwining approach.

Working paper, 2016.



L. C. G. Rogers and J. W. Pitman.

Markov functions.

The Annals of Probability, 9(4):573–582, 1980.



Béla Sz.-Nagy, Ciprian Foias, Hari Bercovici, and László Kérchy.

Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space.

Springer New York, New York, NY, 2010.



Cédric Villani.

Hypocoercivity.

Number no. 950 in *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*.

American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I, 2009.